

Scientific Paper Portfolio

D. 7.29



Deliverable due Authors Approved by Dissemination level

31 December 2015 Submission date 29 February 2016 Responsible partner P 3 EUCC Mediterranean Centre Carolina Pérez, Pedro Fernández H. Leslie, Coordinator PU



The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 308370. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the CleanSea project and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Union.



Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary
2	Summary table of scientific publications during the project life4
3	Summary table of scientific publications under development at the end of the project
	life6
4	Portfolio of published – and accepted- scientific papers8
4.1	Plastic litter in the Sea8
4.2	Monitoring the impact of litter in large vertebrates in the Mediterranean Sea within
	the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD): constraints, specificities
	and recommendations9
4.3	Exploring the potential of large vertebrates as early warning sentinels of threats to
	marine ecosystems, human health and wellbeing10
4.4	Uptake and Retention of Microplastics by the Shore Crab Carcinus maenas
4.5	Micro- and nano-plastics and human health12
4.6	Developing a transport model for Plastic Distribution in the North Sea
4.7	Bacterial community profiling of plastic litter in the Belgian part of the North Sea 14
4.8	The Outline of Marine Litter Collected During Demersal Fishing Surveys in the Period
	2011 - 2014 along the Romanian Black Sea Coast15
4.9	Economic instruments and marine litter control16
4.10	
4.11	o ,
	Romanian Black Sea Area
5	Portfolio of scientific papers under development19
5.1	Most promising measures to combat marine litter in the Baltic Sea region
5.2	Fragmentation of Plastic Materials in a Marine Laboratory Mesocosm
5.3	Institutional and legislative barriers to achieving GES related to marine litter
5.4	Institutional and legislative barriers to achieving GES in relation to marine litter in the
	Baltic Sea region
5.5	Incentives to avoid litter caused by tourism in the Mediterranean Sea region
5.6	Predicted effects of microplastics on production in the marine ecosystem in the North
	Sea, a modelling study24
5.7	A mix of policy options to prevent and reduce marine litter
5.8	Transport modeling of microplastics26
5.9	Overlappings of CleanSea "best practice examples" with National Action Plans - lessons learned
5.10	
5.11	
5.12	
	methods and time series analysis



1 Executive Summary

This deliverable aims to present and give access in a consistent manner to CleanSea project research scientific results, which have been or are about to be published as scientific papers in peer reviewed journals.

During the three years of the project, 11 papers have been published in a series of journals covering both natural and social sciences findings. This number increases as to the planned publications as a good number of results are being consolidated at the end of the project life. There are already 12 scientific papers either on the pipeline, under review or under development. More results are expected to come available through publications in the near future.

The document presents first the overall picture through two tables devoted to published papers or those under development. Later on the document introduces each paper through a summary sheet including more in-depth information, the project abstract and a link to the publication when available.

All and all the intention is to provide structured, easy and clear access to the wealth of knowledge generated by the project.



2 Summary table of scientific publications during the project life

4	SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS ALL PROJECT LIFE						
	Main leader Ma	ain author and other authors	Title	Date	Place	Size of audience	Status
1	UNEXE	Micheal Depledge-UNEXE	Plastic litter in the Sea.	2013	Marine Environmental Research, 92, 279-281	2337	Published
2	UNEXE	Galgani F, Claro F, <u>Depledge M</u> , Fossi C.	Monitoring the impact of litter in large vertebrates in the Mediterranean Sea within the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD): contraints, specificities and recommendations.	06/07/2014	Marine Environmental Research 2014 Sep;100:3-9 doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2014.02.003	2337	Published
3	UNEXE	Fossi MC, <u>Depledge MH.</u>	Exploring the potential of large vertebrates as early warning sentinels of threats to marine ecosystems, human health and wellbeing.	06/07/2014	Marine Environmental Research, 2014 Sep;100:1-2	2337	Published
4	UNEXE	Andrew Watts, Ceri Lewis, Tamara Galloway-UNEXE	Uptake and retention of microplastics by the shore crab <i>Carcinus maenas</i>	07/07/2014	Environmental Science & Technology.	5257	Published
5	UNEXE	Tamara Galloway UNEXE	Micro- and nano-plastics and human health	01/01/2015	In, 'Marine Anthropogenic Litter', Eds, L Gutow et al, Springer International Publishing		Published
6	Deltares	DANA STUPARU, MYRA VAN DER MEULEN, FRANK KLEISSEN, DICK VETHAAK, GHADA EL SERAFY	DEVELOPING A TRANSPORT MODEL FOR PLASTIC DISTRIBUTION IN THE NORTH SEA	July 2015	E-proceedings of the 36th IAHR World Congress		Published
7	ILVO	De Tender C, Devriese L, Haegeman A, Maes S, Ruttink T, Dawyndt P (2015)	Bacterial community profiling of plastic litter in the Belgian part of the North Sea	18/08/2015	Environmental Science & Technology.	5257	Published



8	NIMRD	George Tiganov, Eugen Anton, Madalina Galatchi, Lucian Oprea and Dragomir Coprean	The Outline of Marine Litter Collected During Demersal Fishing Surveys Oganised in the period 2011 – 2014 along the Romanian Black Sea Coast	01/09/2015	The Annals of the University Dunarea de Jos of Galati. Fascicle VI FOOD TECHNOLOGY, ISSN 1843 – 5157	200	
9	ECOLOGIC	Frans Oosterhuisa, Elissaios Papyrakisa and Benjamin Botelerd	Economic Instruments and marine litter control	Dec 2014	Ocean & Coastal Management, Volume 102, Part A, December 2014, Pages 47– 54, Elsevier	1769	Published
10	Deltares	Sascha Sjollema, Paula Redondo- Hallelerharm, Heather Leslie, Michiel Kraak, Dick Vethaak	Do plastic particles affect microalgal photosynthesis and growth?	Jan 2016	Aquatic Toxicology, Volume 170, January 2016, Pages 259–261 Elsevier	>1000	Accepted manuscript
11	NIMRD	Eugen Anton, Gheorghe Radu, George Țiganov, Mădălina Cristea, Magda Nenciu	The Situation of Marine Litter Collected During Demersal Surveys in 2012 in the Romanian Black Sea Area	2013	Cercetări Marine", Issue no. 43, ISSN :0250-3069 pages 350-357		Published



3 Summary table of scientific publications under development at the end of the project life

N⁰	Main leader	Main author and other authors	Title	Date	Place	Size of audience	Countries
1	ECOLOGIC	Susanne Altvater, Ina Krüger, Benjamin Boteler	Most promising measures to combat marine litter Baltic Sea region	Dec 2015	Environmental Science & Policy	>2000	Global
2	Deltares	Jan Gerritse, Heather Leslie, Dick Vethaak	Fragmentation of Plastic Materials in a Marine atory Mesocosm	Dec 2015	To be likely submitted to PLoS ONE	>1000	Global
3	Ecologic	Susanne Altvater, Stefanie Schmidt, Nicolien van der Grijp, Agni Kalfagianni, Dariya Hadzhiyska	Institutional and legislative barriers to achieving elated to marine litter	Jan 2016	Marine Policy		
4	Ecologic	Ina Krüger, Stefanie Schmidt, Ben Boteler	Institutional and legislative barriers to achieving relation to marine litter in the Baltic Sea region	Feb 2016	Marine Pollution Bulletin	>2000	Global
5	Ecologic	Katrina Abhold, Lucy Smith, Ina Krüger, Pedro Fernandez	Incentives to avoid litter caused by tourism in the cerranean Sea region	Feb 2016	Environmental Pollution		
6	Deltares	Tineke Troost, Dick Vethaak, Heather Leslie et al.	Predicted effects of microplastics on production in arine ecosystem in the North Sea, a modeling study	February 2016	To be likely submitted to PLoS ONE	>1000	Global
7	ECOLOGIC	Susanne Altvater , Nicolien M. van der Grijp , Joana Mira Veiga	A mix of policy options to prevent and reduce e litter	March 2016	Ocean & Coastal Management	1769	Global
8	Deltares	Ghada El Serafy, Dana Stuparu, Frank Kleissen et al.	Transport modeling of microplastics	June 2016	To be likely submitted to PLoS ONE	>1000	Global
9	ECOLOGIC	Susanne Altvater, Nicolien van der Grijp, Pedro Fernandez, Joanna Veiga Mira , Denitza Pavlova , Dariya Hadzhiyska	Overlappings of CleanSea "best practice examples" Vational Action Plans - lessons learned	Dec 2016	Marine Pollution Bulletin	>2000	Global
10		Mariana Golumbeanu, Magda Nenciu, lina , Galatchi, Victor Nita, Eugen I, Andra Oros, Christos Ioakeimidis, ança Belchior	- Marine Litter Watch Sea coast (2015) -	Under review	Cercetări Marine, ISSN :0250-3069 under review		



11	IVM-VU	Roy Brouwer, Dariya Hadzhiyska, os Ioakeimidis, Hugo Ouderdorp	The social costs of beach litter along European coasts	Under review	Ecological Economics	>5000	Global
12	IVM-VU	Marianna Galantucci, Roy Brouwer	Composition and sources of floating debris in the port of Barcelona: A comparison of methods and time series analysis	Under review	Marine Pollution Bulletin	>2000	Global



4 Portfolio of published – and accepted- scientific papers

4.1 Plastic litter in the Sea

Author(s)	M.H. Depledge, F. Galgani, C. Panti, , I. Caliani, S. Casini, M.C. Fossi
Contact	European Centre for Environment and Human Health, University of Exeter
details	Medical School, Heavitree Road, Exeter EX1 2LU, United Kingdom
	Ifremer, Immeuble Agostini, ZI Furiani, 20600 Bastia, Corsica, France
	Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, della Terra e dell'Ambiente, Università di
	Siena, Via P.A. Mattioli 4, 53100 Siena, Italy
Corresponding	panti4@unisi.it (C. Panti), fossi@unisi.it (M.C. Fossi).
author(s)	
Published at	Marine Environmental Research 92 (2013) 279e281
Key words	European MSFD; Marine litter; Microplastics
Abstract	On June 2013 a workshop at the University of Siena (Italy) was organized to
	review current knowledge and to clarify what is known, and what remains
	to be investigated, concerning plastic litter in the sea. The content of the
	workshop was designed to contribute further to the European Marine
	Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) following an inaugural workshop in
	2012. Here we report a number of statements relevant to policymakers
	and scientists that was overwhelming agreement from the participants.
	Many might view this as already providing sufficient grounds for policy
	action. At the very least, this early warning of the problems that lie ahead
	should be taken seriously, and serve as a stimulus for further research.
Full paper	http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0141113613001736
	ntp.// www.scienceuneen.com/science/unicie/pii/so1+115015001750



4.2 Monitoring the impact of litter in large vertebrates in the Mediterranean Sea within the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD): constraints, specificities and recommendations

into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and		
detailsMuseum national d'Histoire naturelle, GTMF, CP41, 57 rue Cuvier, 75231 Paris cedex 05, France University of Exeter, Devon EX4 4QJ, United Kingdom University of Siena, Via Mattioli 4, 53100 Siena, ItalyCorresponding author(s)francois.galgani@ifremer.fr (F. Galgani).Published atMarine Environmental Research 100 (2014) 3e9Key wordsMarine Environmental Research 100 (2014) 3e9Key wordsMarine litter; MSFD; Good Environmental Status; Monitoring; Sea turtles; Marine mammals; Seabirds; FulmarAbstractIn its decision (2010/477/EU) relating to the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission identified the following points as focuses for monitoring: (i) 10.1.1: Trends in the amount, source and composition of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by 		F. Galgani F. Claro, M. Depledge, C. Fossi
Paris cedex 05, France University of Exeter, Devon EX4 4QJ, United Kingdom University of Siena, Via Mattioli 4, 53100 Siena, Italy Corresponding author(s) Published at Marine Environmental Research 100 (2014) 3e9 Key words Marine litter; MSFD; Good Environmental Status; Monitoring; Sea turtles; Marine mammals; Seabirds; Fulmar Abstract In its decision (2010/477/EU) relating to the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission identified the following points as focuses for monitoring: (i) 10.1.1: Trends in the amount, source and composition of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by marine animals. Monitoring the impacts of litter will be considered further in 2014. At that time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts. The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deploy		Ifremer, Immeuble Agostini, ZI Furiani, 20600 Bastia, Corsica, France
University of Exeter, Devon EX4 4QJ, United Kingdom University of Siena, Via Mattioli 4, 53100 Siena, ItalyCorresponding author(s)francois.galgani@ifremer.fr (F. Galgani).Published atMarine Environmental Research 100 (2014) 3e9Key wordsMarine litter; MSFD; Good Environmental Status; Monitoring; Sea turtles; Marine marmals; Seabirds; FulmarAbstractIn its decision (2010/477/EU) relating to the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission identified the following points as focuses for monitoring: (i) 10.1.1: Trends in the amount, source and composition of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter in the water column and accumulation on the sea floor, (iii) 10.1.3: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by marine animals.Monitoring the impacts of litter will be considered further in 2014. At that time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing Information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts.The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and marmals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but	details	Museum national d'Histoire naturelle, GTMF, CP41, 57 rue Cuvier, 75231
University of Siena, Via Mattioli 4, 53100 Siena, ItalyCorresponding author(s)Published atMarine Environmental Research 100 (2014) 3e9Key wordsMarine litter; MSFD; Good Environmental Status; Monitoring; Sea turtles; Marine mammals; Seabirds; FulmarAbstractIn its decision (2010/477/EU) relating to the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission identified the following points as focuses for monitoring: (i) 10.1.1: Trends in the amount, source and composition of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount and composition of litter in the water column and accumulation on the sea floor, (iii) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by marine animals.Monitoring the impacts of litter will be considered further in 2014. At that time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts.The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well		Paris cedex 05, France
Corresponding author(s)francois.galgani@ifremer.fr (F. Galgani).Published atMarine Environmental Research 100 (2014) 3e9Key wordsMarine litter; MSFD; Good Environmental Status; Monitoring; Sea turtles; Marine mammals; Seabirds; FulmarAbstractIn its decision (2010/477/EU) relating to the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission identified the following points as focuses for monitoring: (i) 10.1.1: Trends in the amount, source and composition of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by marine animals.Monitoring the impacts of litter will be considered further in 2014. At that time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts.The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well as research on alternative potential indicator species.		University of Exeter, Devon EX4 4QJ, United Kingdom
author(s)Marine Environmental Research 100 (2014) 3e9Published atMarine Inter; MSFD; Good Environmental Status; Monitoring; Sea turtles; Marine mammals; Seabirds; FulmarAbstractIn its decision (2010/477/EU) relating to the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission identified the following points as focuses for monitoring: (i) 10.1.1: Trends in the amount, source and composition of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount and composition of litter in the water column and accumulation on the sea floor, (iii) 10.1.3: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by marine animals.Monitoring the impacts of litter will be considered further in 2014. At that time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts.The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well as research on alternative potential indicator species.		University of Siena, Via Mattioli 4, 53100 Siena, Italy
Published atMarine Environmental Research 100 (2014) 3e9Key wordsMarine litter; MSFD; Good Environmental Status; Monitoring; Sea turtles; Marine mammals; Seabirds; FulmarAbstractIn its decision (2010/477/EU) relating to the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission identified the following points as focuses for monitoring: (i) 10.1.1: Trends in the amount, source and composition of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount and composition of litter in the water column and accumulation on the sea floor, (iii) 10.1.3: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by marine animals.Monitoring the impacts of litter will be considered further in 2014. At that time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts.The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well as research on alternative potential indicator species.		francois.galgani@ifremer.fr (F. Galgani).
Key wordsMarine litter; MSFD; Good Environmental Status; Monitoring; Sea turtles; Marine mammals; Seabirds; FulmarAbstractIn its decision (2010/477/EU) relating to the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission identified the following points as focuses for monitoring: (i) 10.1.1: Trends in the amount, source and composition of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount and composition of litter in the water column and accumulation on the sea floor, (iii) 10.1.3: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by marine animals.Monitoring the impacts of litter will be considered further in 2014. At that time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts.The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well as research on alternative potential indicator species.		
Marine mammals; Seabirds; Fulmar Abstract In its decision (2010/477/EU) relating to the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission identified the following points as focuses for monitoring: (i) 10.1.1: Trends in the amount, source and composition of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount and composition of litter in the water column and accumulation on the sea floor, (iii) 10.1.3: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by marine animals. Monitoring the impacts of litter will be considered further in 2014. At that time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts. The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well as research on alternative potential indicator species.		Marine Environmental Research 100 (2014) 3e9
AbstractIn its decision (2010/477/EU) relating to the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission identified the following points as focuses for monitoring:(i) 10.1.1: Trends in the amount, source and composition of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines,(ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount and composition of litter in the water column and accumulation on the sea floor,(iii) 10.1.3: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by marine animals.Monitoring the impacts of litter will be considered further in 2014. At that time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts.The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well as research on alternative potential indicator species.	Key words	Marine litter; MSFD; Good Environmental Status; Monitoring; Sea turtles;
 Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission identified the following points as focuses for monitoring: (i) 10.1.1: Trends in the amount, source and composition of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount and composition of litter in the water column and accumulation on the sea floor, (iii) 10.1.3: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of microparticles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by marine animals. Monitoring the impacts of litter will be considered further in 2014. At that time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts. The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well as research on alternative potential indicator species. 		Marine mammals; Seabirds; Fulmar
 ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount and composition of litter in the water column and accumulation on the sea floor, (iii) 10.1.3: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of microparticles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by marine animals. Monitoring the impacts of litter will be considered further in 2014. At that time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts. The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well as research on alternative potential indicator species. 	Abstract	Framework Directive (MSFD, 2008/56/EC), the European Commission
time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and research needed to support monitoring efforts. The definition of targets and acceptable levels of harm must take all factors into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well as research on alternative potential indicator species.		ashore and/or deposited on coastlines, (ii) 10.1.2: Trends in the amount and composition of litter in the water column and accumulation on the sea floor, (iii) 10.1.3: Trends in the amount, distribution and composition of micro- particles (mainly microplastics), and (iv) 10.2.1: Trends in the amount and composition of litter ingested by
into account, whether entanglement, ingestion, the transport and release of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well as research on alternative potential indicator species.		time, the strategy will be discussed in the context of the Mediterranean Sea, providing information on constraints, protocols, existing harm and
Full paper http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0141113614000385		of pollutants, the transport of alien species and socio-economic impacts. It must also reflect on the practical deployment of "ingestion" measures (10.2.1). The analysis of existing data will reveal the potential and suitability of some higher trophic level organisms (fish, turtles, birds and mammals) for monitoring the adverse effects of litter. Sea turtles appear to be useful indicator species, but the definition of an ecological quality objective is still needed, as well as research on alternative potential
	Full paper	http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0141113614000385



4.3 Exploring the potential of large vertebrates as early warning sentinels of threats to marine ecosystems, human health and wellbeing

<u>Fossi MC¹, Depledge MH².</u>
¹ Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, della Terra e dell'Ambiente, Università di
Siena, Via P.A. Mattioli 4, 53100 Siena, Italy. Electronic address:
fossi@unisi.it.
² European Centre for Environment and Human Health, University of Exeter
Medical School, Heavitree Road, Exeter, Devon, England, UK. Electronic
address: m.depledge@exeter.ac.uk
fossi@unisi.it (M.C. Fossi).
Marine Environmental Research 100 (2014) 1e2
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0141113614001081



4.4 Uptake and Retention of Microplastics by the Shore Crab Carcinus maenas

Author(s)	Andrew J. R. Watts* ⁺ , Ceri Lewis ⁺ , Rhys M. Goodhead ⁺ [‡] , Stephen J.
	Beckett§, Julian Moger‡, Charles R. Tyler†, and Tamara S. Galloway†
Contact	[†] Department of Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental
details	Sciences, University of Exeter, Geoffrey Pope Building, Stocker Road, Exeter
	EX4 4QD, United Kingdom
	[‡] Department of Physics, College of Engineering, Mathematics and Physical
	Sciences, University of Exeter, Physics Building, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4
	4QL, United Kingdom
	[§] Department of Earth Systems Science, College of Life and Environmental
	Sciences, University of Exeter, Laver Building, North Park Road, Exeter EX4
	4QE, United Kingdom
Corresponding	Andrew Watts <u>a.watts.research@gmail.com</u>
author(s)	
Published at	Environmental Science & Technology 2014, 48, (15), 8823-8830.
Key words	
Abstract	Microplastics, plastics particles <5 mm in length, are a widespread
	pollutant of the marine environment. Oral ingestion of microplastics has
	been reported for a wide range of marine biota, but uptake into the body
	by other routes has received less attention. Here, we test the hypothesis
	that the shore crab (Carcinus maenas) can take up microplastics through
	inspiration across the gills as well as ingestion of pre-exposed food
	(common mussel Mytilus edulis). We used fluorescently labeled
	polystyrene microspheres (8-10 μ m) to show that ingested microspheres
	were retained within the body tissues of the crabs for up to 14 days
	following ingestion and up to 21 days following inspiration across the gill,
	with uptake significantly higher into the posterior versus anterior gills.
	Multiphoton imaging suggested that most microspheres were retained in
	the foregut after dietary exposure due to adherence to the hairlike setae
	and were found on the external surface of gills following aqueous
	exposure. Results were used to construct a simple conceptual model of
	particle flow for the gills and the gut. These results identify ventilation as a
	route of uptake of microplastics into a common marine nonfilter feeding
T. II. and an	species.
Full paper	http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es501090e



4.5 101010	and hand-plastics and human health
Author(s)	Tamara S. Galloway
Contact	T.S. Galloway (*) College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of
details	Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QD, UK e-mail:
	t.s.galloway@exeter.ac.uk
Corresponding	Tamara S. Galloway
author(s)	
Published at	M. Bergmann et al. (eds.), Marine Anthropogenic Litter,
Key words	
Abstract	Plastics are highly versatile materials that have brought huge societal benefits. They can be manufactured at low cost and their lightweight and adaptable nature has a myriad of applications in all aspects of everyday life, including food packaging, consumer products, medical devices and construction. By 2050, however, it is anticipated that an extra 33 billion tonnes of plastic will be added to the planet. Given that most currently used plastic polymers are highly resistant to degradation, this influx of persistent, complex materials is a risk to human and environmental health. Continuous daily interaction with plastic items allows oral, dermal and inhalation exposure to chemical components, leading to the widespread presence in the human body of chemicals associated with plastics. Indiscriminate disposal places a huge burden on waste management systems, allowing plastic wastes to infiltrate ecosystems, with the potential to contaminate the food chain. Of particular concern has been the reported presence of microscopic plastic debris, or microplastics (debris ≤1 mm in size), in aquatic, terrestrial and marine habitats. Yet, the potential for microplastics and nanoplastics of environmental origin to cause harm to human health remains understudied. In this article, some of the most widely encountered plastics in everyday use are identified and their potential hazards listed. Different routes of exposure to human populations, both of plastic additives, microplastics and nanoplastics from food items and from discarded debris are discussed. Risks associated with plastics and additives considered to be of most concern for human health are identified. Finally, some recent developments in delivering a new generation of safer, more sustainable polymers are considered.
Full paper	http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-3-319-16510- 3_13#page-1

4.5 Micro- and nano-plastics and human health



4.6 Developing a transport model for Plastic Distribution in the North Sea

Author(s)	DANA STUPARU(1), MYRA VAN DER MEULEN(1), FRANK KLEISSEN(1), DICK VETHAAK(1,2), GHADA EL SERAFY(1,3)
Californi	
Contact	(1) Deltares, Delft, The Netherlands,
details	e-mail (Dana.Stuparu@deltares.nl, Myra.vanderMeulen@deltares.nl,
	Frank.Kleissen@deltares.nl, Dick.Vethaak@deltares.nl,
	Ghada.ElSerafy@deltares.nl)
	(2) IVM Institute for Environmental studies, AVU University Amsterdam, The
	Netherlands,
	e-mail (a.d.vethaak@vu.nl)
	(3) Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands,
	e-mail (G.Y.H.elSerafy@tudelft.nl)
Published	E-proceedings of the 36th IAHR World Congress
at	
Key words	pollution, plastic litter, modeling, probabilistic, North Sea
Abstract	As a result of the rising plastic usage worldwide, the abundance of plastic litter
	in the sea and ocean has steadily increased over the last few decades.
	However, there is considerable uncertainty regarding the occurrence and
	effects of plastic litter on the marine environment. This uncertainty is visible
	both at the level of physical impacts but also with respect to the adaptation
	measures to reduce the negative environmental consequences. Aiming for a
	better representation of this uncertainty, the EU Marine Strategy Framework
	Directive was published in 2008 and requires EU member states to achieve
	'good environmental status' (GES) in Europe's seas by 2020.
	The present study aims to improve the knowledge regarding the distribution
	and possible accumulation of plastic litter in the North Sea. The litter transport
	in the North Sea is modeled by further development of the Delft3d software.
	By combining hydrodynamics with particle tracking concepts, the model
	calculates how the position of plastic particles evolves in time from their
	release (discharge from rivers such as the Rhine or the Meuse) until the end of
	the simulation.
	The settling velocity of the particles in the water system is dependent on the
	ambient conditions (temperature/salinity) as well as on the particle
	characteristics (density/size).
	The results for micro-sized plastics are presented, while ongoing work is
	extending the concept for the larger macrosized plastic litter items. Different
	types (polyethylene, polystyrene, PET, PVC) and sizes (10 μ m, 330 μ m and 5
	mm) of plastics were simulated. The results demonstrate that density is the
	main determining factor for plastic settlement and that size also has an effect
	on the final location of accumulation. Modeling results are then compared with
	field measurements in sediments as a validation step. This research
	demonstrates that modeling can provide a regional or global overview and aid
	in identifying monitoring questions.
Full paper	http://89.31.100.18/~iahrpapers/81691.pdf
i un puper	11119-17-05-05-15-05-16-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-1-04-05-05-1-04-05-05-1-04-05-05-1-04-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-



4.7 Bacterial community profiling of plastic litter in the Belgian part of the North Sea

Author(s)	Caroline A. De Tender ^{a b c d} , Lisa Inès Devriese ^a , Annelies Haegeman ^{b d} , Sara Maes ^a , Tom Ruttink ^d , and Peter Dawyndt ^c
Contact	^a Institute of Agricultural and Fisheries Research (ILVO), Animal Sciences Unit –
details	Aquatic Environment and Quality, Ankerstraat 1, 8400 Ostend, Belgium
	^b Institute of Agricultural and Fisheries Research (ILVO), Plant Sciences Unit –
	Crop Protection, Burgemeester Van Gansberghelaan 96, 9820 Merelbeke,
	Belgium
	^c Ghent University, Department of Applied Mathematics, Computer Sciences
	and Statistics, Krijgslaan 281 S9, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
	^d Institute of Agricultural and Fisheries Research (ILVO), Plant Sciences Unit –
	Growth and Development, Caritasstraat 21, 9090 Melle, Belgium
Correspon	Caroline De Tender – caroline.detender@ilvo.vlaanderen.be
ding	Lisa Devriese – <u>lisa.devriese@ilvo.vlaanderen.be</u>
author(s)	
Published	Environmental Science & Technology, Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI:
at	10.1021/acs.est.5b01093 • Publication Date (Web): 23 Jul 2015
Key words	
Abstract	Bacterial colonization of marine plastic litter (MPL) is known for over four
	decades. Still, only a few studies on the plastic colonization process and its in
	fluencing factors are reported. In this study, sea floor MPL was sampled at
	different locations across the Belgian part of the North Sea to study bacterial
	community structure using 16S metabarcoding. These marine plastic bacterial
	communities were compared with those of sediment and seawater, and resin
	pellets sampled on the beach, to investigate the origin and uniqueness of
	plastic bacterial communities. Plastics display great variation of bacterial
	community composition, while each showed significant differences from those
	of sediment and seawater, indicating that plastics represent a distinct
	environmental niche. Various environmental factors correlate with the
	diversity of MPL bacterial composition across plastics. In addition, intrinsic
	plastic-related factors such as pigment content may contribute to the
	differences in bacterial colonization. Furthermore, the differential
	abundance of known primary and secondary colonizers across the various
	plastics may indicate different stages of bacterial colonization, and may
	confound comparisons of free-floating plastics. Our studies provide insights in
	the factors that shape plastic bacterial colonization and shed light on the
	possible role of plastic as transport vehicle for bacteria through the aquatic
	environment
Full paper	http://www.cleansea-project.eu/drupal/sites/default/files/De_Tender.pdf



4.8 The Outline of Marine Litter Collected During Demersal Fishing Surveys in the Period 2011 - 2014 along the Romanian Black Sea Coast

	Dragomir Coprean ^{c,d} ,
details	a National Institute for Marine Research and Development "Grigore Antipa",300 Mamaia Blvd., 900581 Constanța, Romania b Dunărea de Jos" University of Galati, Faculty of Food Science and Engineering, 47 Domnească Str., RO-800008, Galati, Romania c Ovidius University, 220 Aurel Vlaicu Bvdl., Constanța d Scientists Academy of Romania
Corresponding author(s)	George Tiganov, e-mail: gtiganov@alpha.rmri.ro
	The Annals of the University Dunarea de Jos of Galati. Fascicle VI FOOD TECHNOLOGY, ISSN 1843 – 5157
	Black Sea, seabed, marine litter,plastic
	Marine life worldwide is contaminated with man-made litter. Plastic items consistently represent the major categories of marine waste by material type on a global basis. Plastic is extremely harmful: it damages fisheries and tourism, affects a wide range of marine life, has the capacity to transport potentially harmful chemicals and invasive species and can represent a threat to human health. Although neither national nor regional programs are in place to monitor seabed litter in Romania, the National Pelagic and Demersal Fish Species Status Evaluation Program, which uses bottom sampling trawling, allowed the National Institute for Marine Research and Development to collect and assess types and quantities of marine litter on the seabed. This sampling started on a voluntary basis, with the support of two European Projects, Perseus and CleanSea. The abundance and distribution of marine litter present on the bottom of the sea varies. The geographical distribution of waste is strongly influenced by hydrodynamic, geomorfological and human factors. In terms of items, plastic is by far the most abundant material, followed by processed wood and fishing nets.
Full paper	



4.9 Economic instruments and marine litter control

, a , a ha d
Frans Oosterhuis ^a , Elissaios Papyrakis ^{a, b, c} , Benjamin Boteler ^d
^a Institute for Environmental Studies, VU University Amsterdam, De
Boelelaan 1087, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands
^b School of International Development, University of East Anglia, Norwich
NR4 7TJ, UK
^c Institute of Social Studies (ISS), Erasmus University, Kortenaerkade 12,
2518 AX, The Hague, The Netherlands
^d Ecologic Institute, Pfalzburger Strasse 43-44, D-10717 Berlin, Germany
Elissaios Papyrakis, elissaios.papyrakis@vu.nl
Elsevier, Ocean & Coastal Management 102 (December 2014) 47e54
This paper provides a comprehensive up-to-date review of the literature on
the economic instruments that can reduce marine litter. We assess their
cost of implementation, level of effectiveness as well as indirect
environmental and socio-economic effects (externalities) that may arise as
a result of their
implementation. The evidence points to an overall beneficial impact of
environmental taxes on items such as plastic bags in terms of reduced use,
as well as a corresponding low cost of implementation. In the same vein,
deposit-refund schemes can achieve high return rates for bottles although
at a relatively high cost (especially when the scheme targets a wide range
of packaging types). In the case of municipal waste collection, a 'pay-as-
you-throw' charge can be applied to incentivise waste reduction. In coastal
areas, waste collection and treatment can be further supported by the
collection of tourist taxes, although there is a high risk that these funds
might be used for other purposes. In the fishing industry, rewards for
fishing vessels that return waste to shore has been shown to both reduce
-
marine litter as well as complement fishermen's income. Since the vast
majority of marine litter comes from land-based sources and consists of
plastic, economic instruments that target relevant sources of land-based
litter more broadly stand to make the greatest contribution to marine litter
reduction. The choice of an appropriate intervention is case specific, largely
depending on the tackled source of pollution, the country's institutional
characteristics and infrastructure, consumer preferences and habitual
behaviour, and the economy's overall sectoral composition.
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S096456911400249X



4.10 Do plastic particles affect microalgal photosynthesis and growth?

Author(s)	Sasha Sjollema ^a , Paula Redondo-Hasselerharm ^{a,} Heather Leslie ^b , Michiel Kraak ^a , Dick Vethaak ^{b,c}
Contact details	a Aquatic Environmental Ecology, IBED, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
	^b Institute for Environmental Studies, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1087, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands ^c Deltares, Princetonlaan 6, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands
Corresponding author(s)	Sascha B. Sjollema, <u>sbsjollema@me.com</u>
Published at	Aquatic Toxicology,Volume 170, January 2016, Pages 259–261 Elsevier
Geographical scope	Global
Key words	primary production; plastic pollution; microplastics; nanoplastics; polystyrene particles; PAM assay
Abstract	The unbridled increase in plastic pollution of the world's oceans raises concerns about potential effects these materials may have on microalgae, which are primary producers at the basis of the food chain and a major global source of oxygen. Our current understanding about the potential modes and mechanisms of toxic action that plastic particles exert on microalgae is extremely limited. How effects might vary with particle size and the physico-chemical properties of the specific plastic material in question is equally unelucidated, but may hold clues to how toxicity, if observed, is exerted. In this study we selected polystyrene particles, both negatively charged and uncharged, and three different sizes (0.05, 0.5 and 6 μ m) for testing the effects of size and material properties. Microalgae were exposed to different polystyrene particle sizes and surface charges for 72 h. Effects on microalgal photosynthesis and growth were determined by pulse amplitude modulation fluorometry and flow cytometry, respectively. None of the treatments tested in these experiments had an effect on microalgal photosynthesis. Microalgal growth was negatively affected (up to 45%) by uncharged polystyrene particles, but only at high concentrations (250 mg/L). Additionally, these adverse effects were demonstrated to increase with decreasing particle size.
Full paper	http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0166445X15301168



4.11 The Situation of Marine Litter Collected During Demersal Surveys in 2012 in the Romanian Black Sea Area

Author(s)	Eugen Anton, Gheorghe Radu, George Țiganov, Mădălina Cristea, Magda Nenciu
Contact	National Institute for Marine Research and Development
details	"Grigore Antipa",300 Mamaia Blvd., 900581 Constanța, Romania,
Correspondi	Eugen Anton e-mail: <u>eanton@alpha.rmri.ro</u>
ng author(s)	
Published at	Cercetări Marine", Issue no. 43, 2013 ISSN :0250-3069
	pages 350-357
Geographica	Black Sea
l scope	
Key words	Black Sea, marine litter, bottom trawl
Abstract	Currently, there are no national or regional programs for the strict monitoring of
	the existing litter on the seabed. However, adjacently, by carrying-out activities at sea (demersal trawlings,) the collection of wastes from the seabed was favored, which allowed a quantitative and assortment assessment thereof. In general, the abundance and distribution of the existing marine litter on the seabed shows a considerable spatial variability. Their geographical distribution on the seabed is strongly influenced by hydrodynamics, geomorphology and human factors. Romania, through the national pelagic and demersal fish species status outputtion program was favored by traviling operations performed on the
	evaluation program, was favored by trawling operations performed on the seabed to obtain data which allowed the quantitative and qualitative assessment of such wastes in the areas of activity.
Full paper	http://www.rmri.ro/Home/Downloads/Publications.RecherchesMarines/2013/p aper17.pdf



5 Portfolio of scientific papers under development

5.1 Most promising measures to combat marine litter in the Baltic Sea region

Author(s)	Susanne Altvater, Ina Krüger, Benjamin Boteler
Contact	
details	
Corresponding	
author(s)	
Published at	Environmental Sciences and Policy, to be published early 2016
Key words	
Abstract	
Full paper	Not yet available



5.2 Fragmentation of Plastic Materials in a Marine Laboratory Mesocosm

Author(s)	Jan Gerritse ^a , Heather Leslie ^b , Dick Vethaak ^{a,b}
Contact	^a Deltares, Princetonlaan 6, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands
details	^b Institute for Environmental Studies, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan
	1087, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Corresponding	
Corresponding author(s)	Jan Gerritse, jan.gerritse@deltares.nl
Published at	Likely to be published in PLosONE
Geographical	Global
scope	
Key words	Plastic, fragmentation, marine mesocosm
Abstract	Fragmentation rates of marine plastic litter have only been roughly
	estimated, with rare attempts to determine loss of tensile strength or
	surface area. In fact, it is currently unknown to what extent plastic litter
	in the sea is converted into micro- and nanometer-sized plastic particles,
	and how long it takes under ambient marine environmental conditions
	for plastic to be mineralized. Measuring weathering, fragmentation and
	mineralization rates of plastic objects in a marine environment with
	commonly used methods is not straightforward. We hypothesize that
	weathering and release of small fragments results in absorption of
	seawater into a plastic object, which can be measured as a decrease of
	its electrical resistance. Therefore we tested if the electrical resistance
	of plastic objects can be used as simple, cheap indicator of plastics
	weathering and fragmentation. In addition we compared the
	fragmentation of variety of conventional durable and compostable
	plastic materials in a mixture of consumer plastics in a marine
	laboratory mesocosm.
Full paper	Not yet available



5.3 Institutional and legislative barriers to achieving GES related to marine litter

Author(s)	Susanne Altvater, Stefanie Schmidt, Nicolien van der Grijp, Agni Kalfagianni,
	Dariya Hadzhiyska
Contact	
details	
Corresponding	
author(s)	
Published at	Marine Policy, planned February 2016
Key words	
Abstract	
Full paper	Not yet available



5.4 Institutional and legislative barriers to achieving GES in relation to marine litter in the Baltic Sea region

Author(s)	Susanne Altvater, Stefanie Schmidt, Nicolien van der Grijp, Agni Kalfagianni,
	Dariya Hadzhiyska
Contact	
details	
Corresponding	
author(s)	
Published at	Marine Pollution Bulletin, planned February 2016
Key words	
Abstract	
Full paper	Not yet available



5.5 Incentives to avoid litter caused by tourism in the Mediterranean Sea region

Author(s)	Katrina Abhold, Lucy Smith, Ina Krüger, Pedro Fernandez
Contact	
details	
Corresponding	
author(s)	
Published at	Environmental Pollution, planned February 2016
Key words	
Abstract	
Full paper	Not yet available



5.6 Predicted effects of microplastics on production in the marine ecosystem in the North Sea, a modelling study

Author(s)	Tineke Troost ^a , Dick Vethaak ^{a,b} and others
Contact	^a Deltares, Princetonlaan 6, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands
details	^b Institute for Environmental Studies, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan
	1087, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Corresponding	Tineke Troost, <u>tineke.troost@deltares.nl</u>
author(s)	
Published at	Likely to be published in PLosONE
Key words	microplastics, Delft3D, ecosystem effects, primary production, secundary
	production, DEB-modeling, North Sea
Abstract	Marine algal productivity may be negatively affected by microplastics,
	resulting in potential loss of primary productivity in marine waters.
	Negative effects on primary production may in term have an impact on
	secondary productivity, i.e. zooplankton. Models are a valuable tool in
	predicting possible ecosystem effects of microplastics. We therefor
	extended the Delft3D-GEM ecosystem model for the North Sea to
	include zooplankton on the basis of Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB-)
	theory. The model output predicted that effects of microplastics on
	algal biomass are negigable. In contrast, the model predicted that
	direct effects of microplastics on zooplankton would considerably
	reduce zooplankton biomass and productivity.
Full paper	Not yet available



5.7 A mix of policy options to prevent and reduce marine litter

Author(s)	Susanne Altvater ^a , Nicolien M. van der Grijp ^b , Joana Mira Veiga ^c
Contact	^a Ecologic Institute, Pfalzburger Strasse 43-44, D-10717 Berlin, Germany
details	^b Institute for Environmental Studies, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan
	1087, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands
	^c Centro Mediterráneo de Estudios para el Uso y Conservación de las Costas – Centro
	Mediterráneo EUCC, Barcelona, Spain
Corresponding	Denitza Pavlova – <u>denitza.pavlova@denkstatt.bg</u>
author(s)	
Published at	Elsevier, Ocean & Coastal Management (planned March 2016)
Geographical	All four European sea regions
scope	
Key words	
Abstract	The article provides a portfolio of policy options for the relevant
	authorities at multiple levels to prevent and reduce marine litter in
	particular in support for the implementation of the Marine Strategy
	Framework Directive (MSFD). Options for action in relation to the
	product-to-waste cycle have been identified and a region specific mix of
	measures in response to the main challenges for each of the 4 EU sea-
	basins has been proposed. Existing measures as well as planned ones by
	the Regional Seas Action Plans (RAP) or the Programme of Measures
	(PoM) of the Member States have been evaluated with a multi-criteria
	analysis (MCA). Results show that main impact is achieved when the
	focus is on specific marine litter types or more than one item.
	Furthermore, when addressing key drivers of marine litter or barriers to
	GES with a broad geographic scope, or targeting the first two stages of
	the waste hierarchy – design and production as well as use and
	consumption – the impact is expected higher. The combination of
	different legal tools at different levels is another very important
	precondition for an effective framework. These legal tools should be
	accompanied with co-governance, for instance regional management
	plans that are legislatively grounded via national environmental
	protection acts, or backed by awareness raising and capacity building.
	Furthermore, standard settings provide guidance to industry and other
	stakeholders. These tools seem to have an even greater effectiveness
	when combined with market-based instruments.
Full paper	when combined with market based instruments.
i un puper	



Author(s)	Ghada El Serafya,b, Dana Stuparu, Frank Kleissen, Myra van, Dick Vethaaka,c
Contact details	a Deltares, Princetonlaan 6, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands b TU Delft
Corresponding author(s)	Ghada El Serafy, ghada.elserafy@deltares.nl
Published at	Possible PlosONE
Key words	Plastic, marine litter modelling, hotspots of marine litter
Abstract	Plastics are ubiquitously present in the marine environment. It is included as a descriptor of "good environmental status" in the Marine Strategy Framework Directive of the EU. In order to determine effects on the marine environment, the knowledge base for microplastic presence and the exposure that habitats have to them should be expanded. With the use of the three dimensional models for microplastic tracking, more insight can be gained on exposed areas. The current model acts a preliminary step to identify microplastics in the North Sea and their accumulation zones. It includes several aspects of the transport and fate of plastics. It also describes the relevant characteristics of plastics such as density, shape and size that affect the behaviour of the plastic in the marine environment (i.e. settling velocity and fragmentation). The model provides the microplastic distribution in the North Sea on a scale (both spatial and temporal) that could not be done with sampling alone. It contributes to the description of spatial distribution and possible risks associated with marine organism exposure to microplastics. The model describes the natural variability of plastics through probabilistic modelling. In the model simulations, the highest predicted concentrations of microplastics were found near the River influents and along the coast. Here, habitats have an increased exposure to microplastics. The preliminary model results indicates that the model is generic and can
	help in cleanup actions and guided monitoring for microplastics in the North Sea, for surface as well as sediment layers.
Full paper	Accepted manuscript

5.8 Transport modeling of microplastics



5.9 Overlappings of CleanSea "best practice examples" with National Action Plans lessons learned

Author(s)	Susanne Altvater, Nicolien van der Grijp, Pedro Fernandez, Joanna Veiga Mira
	, Denitza Pavlova , Dariya Hadzhiyska
Contact	
details	
Corresponding	
author(s)	
Published at	Marine Pollution Bulletin planned December 2016
Key words	
Abstract	
Full paper	Not yet available



5.10 Marine Litter Watch Sea coast (2015)

Author(s)	Mariana Golumbeanu ¹ , Magda Nenciu ¹ , Madalina Galatchi ¹ , Victor Nita ¹ , Eugen Anton ¹ , Andra Oros ¹ , Christos Ioakeimidis ² , Constança Belchior ³
Contact details	 ¹National Institute for Marine Research and Development "Grigore Antipa", 300 Mamaia Blvd., RO-900581, telephone: +40 241 543288 *E-mail: golumbeanum@gmail.com ²Hellenic Centre for Marine Research - Institute of Oceanography, 46.7 km Athens-Sounio ave., 19013 Anavissos - Greece, E-mail: cioakeim@hcmr.gr ³European Environment Agency, Marine and Maritime Data and Analysis Department, Kongens Nytorv 6, 1050 Copenhagen K, Denmark, E-mail: constanca.belchior@eea.europa.eu
Corresponding author(s)	Magda Nenciu, e-mail: mnenciu@alpha.rmri.ro
Published at	Cercetări Marine, ISSN :0250-3069 under review
Geographical scope	
Key words	Black Sea, ecological education, MLW, cigarette butts, plastic containers
Abstract	 Litter, accumulating in European seas and coasts, is impacting marine ecosystems, causing problems to human activities that use and depend on the sea and raising human health concerns. European Member States are developing measures to tackle marine litter with the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD), but the information base is still insufficient. The National Institute for Marine Research and Development "Grigore Antipa" Constanta (NIMRD) has been actively involved in marine litter related activities: Involvement in CLEANSEA, MARLISCO and PERSEUS projects. Sea surveys for seabed marine litter monitoring; Terrestrial surveys along Romanian Black Sea coast sectors for beach marine litter monitoring; Laboratory analyses for macroplastics; Participation in actions to identify the main polluters, developing proposals for measures to reduce litter pollution of the marine environment (MSFD); Beach clean-up activities, education and awareness raising campaigns; Marine Litter Watch App was applied at the Romanian Black Sea Coast. Surveys have been implemented both off-season (January, April), as well as during the high tourist season, and the main wastes identified



V	were cigarette butts and plastic containers.
Full paper	

5.11 The social costs of beach litter along European coasts

Author(s)	Roy Brouwer, Dariya Hadzhiyska, Christos Ioakeimidis, Hugo Ouderdorp
Contact	
details	
Corresponding	
author(s)	
Published at	Ecological Economics, under review
Geographical	
scope	
Key words	
Abstract	
Full paper	



5.12 Composition and sources of floating debris in the port of Barcelona: A comparison of methods and time series analysis

Author(s)	Marianna Galantucci, Roy Brouwer
Contact	
details	
Corresponding	
author(s)	
Published at	Under review by Marine Pollution bulleting
Geographical	
scope	
Key words	
Abstract	
Full paper	



